Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	arv	09/697,123	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner-indated interview duminary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Diana B. Johannsen	1634	
All Participants:		Status of Application	: <u>allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Díana Johannsen</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>Gary Tanigawa</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>9/24/03</u>		Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		ant's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: NA				
Claims discussed: all pending			·	*
Prior art documents discussed: NA				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE On 9/16/2003, discussed possible amendments to furth allowance. Applicant filed a supplemental amendment	er clarify	the claimed invention and pla	ace claims 2-3 and 5 in	า condition for are allowed.
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The <i>()ໃກ</i> parate r ີ	e examiner will provide a compared of the substance of	written-summary-of- the interview, since	the substance
Dignab		NA		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				